Reply to Office Action dated November 14, 2008

## REMARKS

Upon entry of this Amendment as response, claims 1-24, 26 and 27 remain in the application. Claim 25 has been cancelled by this action Claims 1, 2, 4, 10, 19, 21, 24, and 27 have been amended by the action. This action reiterates the issues and positions discussed in that response and is submitted in an effort to be more fully responsive to the request for corrected darawings.

This supplemental amendment is responsive to the Office Action dated 11/14/2009. It is submitted that the issued and rejections raised in that communication have been considered and have been either addressed or traversed in this Amendment and Response. Entry of this amendment is sought under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.115. It is the Applicant's position that all objections and rejections are overcome. Reconsideration and allowance is sought.

## Drawing objections

The drawing objection is noted. Proposed substitute drawings are submitted with the Amendment and response pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). It should be noted that the element of concern is discussed in the specification at reference numeral 14 as discussed on page 5 of the specification.

Application Serial No. 10/540,542 Date February 17, 2009 Reply to Office Action dated November 14, 2008

In view of the present supplemental amendment and the present arguments and analysis presented in this matter, it is submitted that the invention as set forth in claims 1-24, 26 and 27 is in a condition suitable for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

## s/Denise M Glassmeyer

Denise M. Glassmeyer Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 31831 (248) 649-3333 (248) 649-3338 (fax) glassmeyer@ybpc.com

3001 West Big Beaver Road, Ste 624 Troy, Michigan 48084-3107

Dated: February 17, 2009 DMG/ljo